

## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT &amp; TRADEMARK OFFICE

B/O Form PTO-1390 JUN 15 2001 TYPE JC152 INTERNATIONAL DEMAND Title of Invention ORAL VACCINE AGAINST DIARRHEA Applicant(s) for DO/EO/US CARLIN et al.		Transmittal Letter to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) concerning a Filing Under 35 USC 371	Attorney's Docket Number CARL3003/REF U.S. Application Number (if known) 09/868243
International Application Number PCT/SE99/02306	International Filing Date 9 December 1999	Priority Date Claimed 18 December 1998	

Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items under 35 USC 371:

1. ☒ This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 USC 371.
2. ☐ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 USC 371.
3. ☒ This express request to begin national examination procedures (35 USC 371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 USC 371(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39(1).
4. ☒ A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date.
5. ☒ A copy of the International Application as filed 35 USC 371(c)(2).
  - a. ☒ is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
  - b. ☐ has been transmitted by the International Bureau.
  - c. ☐ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).
6. ☐ A translation of the International Application into English (35 USC 371(c)(2)).
7. ☒ Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 USC 371(c)(3))
  - a. ☐ are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
  - b. ☐ have been transmitted by the International Bureau.
  - c. ☐ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
  - d. ☒ have not been made and will not be made.
8. ☐ A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 USC 371(c)(3)).
9. ☒ An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 USC 371(c)(4)). ( ☒ Executed ☐ Unexecuted )
10. ☐ A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 USC 371(c)(5)).

Items 11 to 16 below concern other document(s) or information included:

11. ☒ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
12. ☒ An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.
13. ☐ A **FIRST** preliminary amendment.  
☐ A **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** preliminary amendment.
14. ☐ A substitute specification.
15. ☐ A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.
16. ☒ Other items or information: Information Data Sheet

Application Number (if Known) <b>09/868243</b>		International Application Number <b>PCT/SE99/02306</b>		Attorney's Docket Number <b>CARL3003/REF</b>	
				Calculations	PTO USE ONLY
17. The following fees are submitted: <b>Basic National Fee (37 CFR 1.492(a)(1)-(5)):</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Search report has been prepared by the EPO or JPO ..... \$860.00 <input type="checkbox"/> International Preliminary Examination Fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) ..... \$690.00 <input type="checkbox"/> No International Preliminary Examination Fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) but International Search Fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) ..... \$710.00 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neither International Preliminary Examination Fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor International Search Fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO ..... \$1000.00 <input type="checkbox"/> International Preliminary Examination Fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) ..... \$100.00				\$1,000.00	
ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT				\$ 1,000.00	
Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).					
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE		
Total Claims	4 -20 =	0	× \$18.00	\$ 0.00	
Independent Claims	1 -3 =	0	× \$80.00	\$ 0.00	
Multiple Dependent Claims (if applicable)			+ \$270.00		
TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS				\$ 1,000.00	
Reduction by ½ for filing by small entity, if applicable. Small Entity Status is asserted pursuant to 37 CFR 1.27 for this application.					
SUBTOTAL				\$ 1,000.00	
Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).					
TOTAL NATIONAL FEE					
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property.				\$ 40.00	
TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED				\$ 1,040.00	
Amount to be:				Refunded:	
				Charged:	

- a. ☒ A check in the amount of \$1,040.00 to cover the fees is enclosed.
- b. ☐ Please charge my Deposit Account Number 02-0200 in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- c. ☐ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account Number 02-0200. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Note: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.

**BACON & THOMAS, PLLC**  
 625 SLATERS LANE - FOURTH FLOOR  
 ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 223124-1176  
 (703) 683-0500

DATE: June 15, 2001

Respectfully submitted,

*Richard E. Fichter*

Richard E. Fichter  
 Attorney for Applicant

Registration Number: 26,382

Oral vaccine against diarrhea

The present invention relates to an oral vaccine against diarrhea. More precisely, it relates to an oral vaccine composition against enterotoxigenic *E.coli* caused diarrhea in humans.

**Background**

Bacteria and viruses often express on or within their membrane, proteins which in a certain environment function as a support for the organism to associate in a specific way or adhere to a surface. Such a surface may be the inner wall of the gastrointestinal tract, the oesophagus or any other biological surface or membrane in a human or animal body, where an organism may find an optimal environment for growth. Microbial membrane proteins of this kind are often named colonization factor antigens (CFA) or fimbriae. In the literature, other words such as pili, hemagglutinins, filamentous or fibrillar proteins are used for the same kind of substances. For convenience, "CFA" will be used herein to cover all these kinds of membrane proteins which also may have an antigenic character. For most organisms the production of their CFAs is controlled by various factors in the environment, which in turn may activate regulatory genes in the plasmid DNA.

A number of bacteria which are of medical interest have been shown to produce CFAs associated with their membrane. Among these, the ones which are most important for humans, are organisms which colonize the human gastrointestinal tract and the respiratory airways. Examples of organisms which colonize the gastrointestinal tract are enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*, *Vibrio cholerae*, *Helicobacter pylori*, *Campylobacter*, *Shigellae*, *Salmonellae* and *Yersinia*.

Of particular medical interest is the enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC), since it is one of the major causes of travelers diarrhea and diarrhea among children in the developing countries and accounts for more than 1 billion diarrhea episodes and at least one million deaths per year, primarily among children.

Enterotoxigenic *E. coli* are characterized in that they produce heat labile enterotoxin (LT) and/or heat stable enterotoxin (ST).

In WO 92/14487 a method for production and use of an oral ETEC vaccine is disclosed. The ETEC bacteria with CFAs and their subcomponents (CS-antigens) are grown under specified conditions. The subsequently formalin killed bacteria may then be used as an oral vaccine against the ETEC bacteria. The CFA and CS antigens will thus function as antigens in the immunological processing that will take place in the intestine.

There were problems with the scaling up of the production of ETEC bacteria having CFAs, and a solution to the problems were disclosed in the International Patent Application WO 95/33825.

Formulations of ETEC vaccines containing the B-subunit of cholera toxin, which is antigenically similar to the heat labile enterotoxin of ETEC, and colonization factor antigens of ETEC, were shown to stimulate relevant mucosal immune responses in Swedish volunteers. (Jertborn M., et al, Vaccine, 1997; 16:255-260).

For product safety and efficacy a vaccine composition must be non-infectious and contain defined amounts of active ingredients which are the same from batch to batch.

#### 10 Description of the invention

The present invention provides a new vaccine composition which is based on LT-negative killed enterotoxigenic *E. coli* bacteria comprising defined amounts of at least three different types of colonization factor antigens, and the B-subunit of cholera toxin (CTB) which is antigenically similar to the heat labile enterotoxin of ETEC. Further, the vaccine composition is purified from possible heat stable enterotoxin (ST).

The LT- negative ETEC bacteria are either laboratory selected from naturally genetically modified strains or produced by recombinant techniques.

Possibly present heat stable enterotoxin, which is a comparatively small protein of 19 amino acid residues, is washed away during the down stream processing of the vaccine composition.

The elimination of the possibility of the bacteria to produce heat label enterotoxin and removal of the possibly present the heat stable enterotoxin adds to product safety.

The killing of the bacteria should be performed in such a way that the colonization factor antigens are substantially retained, e.g. by mild formalin inactivation.

Thus, the present invention provides an oral vaccine composition against enterotoxigenic *E. coli* caused diarrhea in humans, which comprises a defined amount of at least three different types of colonization factor antigens (CFAs) selected from the group consisting of CFA I, CFA II (CS 1, CS2 and CS3) and CFA IV (CS4, CS5 and CS6), on killed *E. coli* bacteria lacking the gene encoding the heat labile (LT) enterotoxin, together with a defined amount of the B-subunit of cholera toxin (CTB), and an vehicle, which vaccine composition is purified from possible heat stable enterotoxin (ST).

In an embodiment of the oral vaccine of the invention the defined amount of different types of CFAs is for each type of CFA at least 100 µg, and the defined amount of CTB is at least 0.5 mg, and the vehicle is a physiologically acceptable buffer solution.

In a preferred embodiment the defined amount of different types of CFAs is for each type of CFA 100 to 300 µg, and the defined amount of CTB is 0.5 to 2.0 mg.

In a most preferred embodiment of the invention the defined amount of different types of CFAs are 200 µg of CFA/I, 200 µg of CS1, 150 µg of CS2, 200 µg of CS4 and 150 µg of CS5, and 1.0 mg of CTB, and the physiologically acceptable buffer solution is phosphate buffered saline solution.

A dose of the vaccine composition will contain approximately  $10^{11}$  bacteria.

The vaccine composition is administered to person as a drink in a glass of water containing carbonate buffer, such as sodium hydrogen carbonate.

## 10 Experiments

### *Determination of the amounts of the different colonization factor antigens*

*E. coli* fimbriae antigens are detected by using an inhibition ELISA substantially as described by Lopez-Vidal Y., et al in the Journal of Clinical Microbiology, vol 26, 1967-1972 (1988). Briefly, the bacterial sample to be analyzed is incubated together with a fixed amount of monoclonal antibody directed against the CFA. After incubation the mixture is transferred to a plate previously coated with CFA, where residual monoclonal antibody can bind to the CFA. Bound antibody is visualized by adding horseradish peroxidase conjugated anti-mouse immunoglobulin. As substrate, o-phenylenediaminedihydrochloride in a citrate buffer is used. The optical density is measured at 450 nm in a spectrophotometer connected to a computer equipped with a data reduction software. The optical density observed is inversely proportional to the concentration of antibody in the sample.

### *Formulation of the vaccine composition*

Lot of formulated oral ETEC Vaccine derived from monovalent bulks of formalin killed CFA/I SBL strain 101, CS1 SBL strain 106, CS2 SBL strain 107, CS4 SBL strain 104, CS5 SBL strain 105 respectively and monovalent bulk of purified rCTB.

## Composition

Names of Ingredients	Unit	Function
Active substances:		
1. Monovalent Bulk: <i>E.coli</i> , CFA/I SBL-101, Formalin Killed	$2.0 \times 10^2 \mu\text{g}$ fimbriae	To elicit immune response against ETEC bacteria
2. Monovalent Bulk: <i>E.coli</i> , CS1 SBL-I 06, Formalin Killed	$2.0 \times 10^2 \mu\text{g}$ fimbriae	
3. Monovalent Bulk: <i>E.coli</i> , CS2 SBL-107, Formalin Killed	$1.5 \times 10^2 \mu\text{g}$ fimbriae	
4. Monovalent Bulk: <i>E.coli</i> , CS4 SBL- 104, Formalin Killed	$2.0 \times 10^2 \mu\text{g}$ fimbriae	
5. Monovalent Bulk: <i>E.coli</i> , CS5 SBL-105, Formalin Killed	$1.5 \times 10^2 \mu\text{g}$ fimbriae	
6. Monovalent Bulk: rCTB, Purified	1.0 mg	
Vehicle:		
7. PBS(WHO) Buffer, pH 7.2-7.4	q.s. ad 6.0 ml	Buffer

The strains SBL-104 and SBL-105 also produced CS 6, but the amount thereof was not established. The strain SBL-107 also produced CS3, but the amount thereof was not established.

*Pilot study evaluating the efficacy and reactogenicity of the oral ETEC B-subunit-killed whole cell vaccine of the invention against travelers diarrhea*

In a double blind, randomized, placebo controlled pilot study three groups of Austrian travelers were investigated. In addition to the ETEC vaccine an oral B-subunit whole cell cholera vaccine was also included; since it had previously been shown in both Bangladesh (Clemens J., et al, J Infect Dis, 1988, 158:372-377) and in Finnish travelers to Morocco (Peltola H., et al Lancet, 1991, 338:1285-89) to afford significant protection against *E.coli* LT-diarrhea.

A total of 250 volunteers, adults and children, who had signed up for a trip to tropical or subtropical destinations (44 different countries in Africa, Asia and Latin-America) with a duration of stay intended to last 7 to 23 days, were included. Twelve of these were withdrawn due to violation of protocol, so that the intent to treat population encompassed 238 persons and the per protocol population, which perfectly fulfilled all the requirements, comprised 187

travelers. Two consecutive doses of vaccine or placebo were given, at an interval of 7-21 days, not less than 7 days and not more than 30 days before departure. Post vaccination symptoms and adverse events were reported after both doses. All volunteers enrolled in the study were equipped with a daily record diary to monitor episodes of travelers' diarrhea during their stay abroad and with transport media (Portagerm and Cary Blair tubes) for collection of stool samples in case of diarrhea. Travelers were instructed to hand over their travel diary, and transport media tubes immediately after return. Blood samples for testing immunogenicity were taken from a subset of 73 volunteers before and after the first and second dose of the vaccines or placebo. The post first dose sample was collected immediately before intake of the second dose, and the post second dose blood sample was drawn after return.

The formulations given to the three randomized groups of travelers were: ETEC vaccine., containing 1 mg of recombinant B-subunit of cholera toxin plus  $10^{11}$  formalin killed ETEC bacteria of five ETEC strains expressing the most common colonization factor antigens (CFA's) such as CFA I, CFA II (CS I, CS2 and CS3) and CFA IV (CS4, CS5 and CS6); a B-subunit cholera whole cell vaccine (registered in Sweden since 1992), containing 1 mg recombinant subunit B cholera toxin and  $10^{11}$  killed whole cells (Inaba, Ogawa, classical and El Tor); placebo containing  $10^{11}$  killed *E.coli* K12. The formulations were suspended in 4 ml buffer and each dose of vaccine or placebo was given as a drink in 150 cc of a sodium hydrogen carbonate solution. Isolation and characterization of ETEC bacteria and the anti-CTB IgA ELISA were performed as described (Jertborn M., et al ibid).

250 volunteers received one dose of vaccine or placebo, of whom 246 also received the second dose. The study protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee before start of the study. Written informed consents of the volunteers were obtained before randomization.

Reactogenicity results are as follows: In total 43 volunteers (17%) had mild to moderate gastrointestinal or general symptoms after the first dose; 13 (16%) in the placebo, 13 (16%) in the cholera vaccine group and 17 (20%) in the ETEC vaccine group. After the second dose 20 (8%) had symptoms; 6 (7%) in the placebo, 7 (9%) in the cholera vaccine group and 7 (8%) in the ETEC vaccine group. Statistically, there were no differences in the proportion of volunteers reporting symptoms between the study groups.

Evaluating the efficacy of the vaccines, a case of ETEC diarrhea was defined as having three or more liquid stools, and ETEC, but no other pathogens, detected in pretreatment stools. In the Per Protocol population the incidence of ETEC was 8%(5 cases) in

the placebo group, 10%(6 cases) in the cholera vaccine group and 2% (1 case) in the ETEC vaccine group. The vaccine efficacy as regards ETEC vaccine versus placebo was 79% ( $p=0.119$ ) and versus cholera vaccine 84% ( $p=0.0496$ ). Comparison of ETEC diarrhea rates was done by one-sided Fisher's exact test.

- 5 All ETEC isolates in the Per Protocol population expressed colonization factors included in the vaccine.

The cholera vaccine gave no detectable protection against ETEC as compared with the placebo group, but it is noteworthy that only two of the ETEC cases in the cholera vaccine group were caused by bacteria producing solely the heat-labile enterotoxin (LT) against which  
10 the cholera vaccine (through its B-subunit) can theoretically work.

Thus, due to the fact that there were almost exclusively LT-negative strains present in this group, the cholera vaccine is not supposed to have any effect.

Despite these results it must be kept in mind that the stool sample handling as described might be a source of error. When using transport media, there is a risk of losing  
15 sensitive pathogens, whereas less sensitive ones such as *E. coli* bacteria survive, resulting in false positive cases of ETEC diarrhea (see definition of ETEC diarrhea). Hence, the proportion of ETEC diarrhea might have been overestimated. However, it is unlikely that possible false positive cases are unevenly distributed over the study groups.

Concerning immunogenicity, a significant increase in antibodies against the B-subunit  
20 of cholera toxin (CTB) could be shown by means of anti-CTB IgA ELISA in the group receiving the ETEC vaccine (geometric mean titres pre- vs. post-vaccination: 7.8 vs. 58.7 after the first and 62.6 after the second dose; seroconversion after 2nd dose: 91%) and in the group receiving the cholera vaccine (7.6 vs. 54.6 after the first and 60.1 after the second dose; seroconversion 100%). The placebo group did not show any significant increase in the anti-  
25 CTB response (7.9 vs. 8.3 after the first and 11.8 after the second dose; seroconversion 29%).

Thus - although the CTB-cholera WC vaccine induced a very good response against the B-subunit - a significant influence of this vaccine on ETEC diarrhea was not observed. This could predominantly be ascribed to the fact that a majority of the ETEC strains isolated from these volunteers were only producing ST (9 from 12) and hence could not be prevented  
30 by the cholera vaccine. Apparently, anti-CFA antibodies are highly important for protection against in particular diarrhea caused by ST-only ETEC.

The present results are the first to lend support in humans for the working hypothesis that oral ETEC vaccine induced anti-CFA/CS immunity can protect against diarrhea caused by ST-only producing ETEC.



### Claims

1. Oral vaccine composition against enterotoxigenic *E.coli* caused diarrhea in humans, which comprises a defined amount of at least three different types of colonization factor antigens (CFAs) selected from the group consisting of CFA I, CFA II (CS 1, CS2 and CS3) and CFA IV (CS4, CS5 and CS6), on killed *E. coli* bacteria lacking the gene encoding the heat labile (LT<sup>-</sup>) enterotoxin, together with a defined amount of the B-subunit of cholera toxin (CTB), and an vehicle, which vaccine composition is purified from possible heat stable enterotoxin (ST).

2. Oral vaccine according to claim 1, wherein the defined amount of different types of CFAs is for each type of CFA at least 100 µg, and the defined amount of CTB is at least 0.5 mg, and the vehicle is a physiologically acceptable buffer solution.

3. Oral vaccine according to claim 2, wherein the defined amount of different types of CFAs is for each type of CFA 100 to 300 µg, and the defined amount of CTB is 0.5 to 2.0 mg.

4. Oral vaccine according to claim 3, wherein the defined amount of different types of CFAs are 200 µg of CFA/I, 200 µg of CS1, 150 µg of CS2, 200 µg of CS4 and 150 µg of CS5, and 1.0 mg of CTB, and the physiologically acceptable buffer solution is phosphate buffered saline solution.

**ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE**

An oral vaccine composition against enterotoxigenic *E. coli* caused diarrhea in humans is disclosed. It comprises a defined amount of at least three different types of colonization factor antigens (CFAs), e.g. 100 to 300 µg of each type, selected from the group consisting of CFA I, CFA II (CS1, CS2 and CS3) and CFA IV (CS4, CS5 and CS6), on killed *E. coli* bacteria lacking the gene encoding the heat labile enterotoxin (LT<sup>-</sup>), together with a defined amount of the B-subunit of cholera toxin (CTB), e.g. 0.5-2.0 mg, and a vehicle, such as PBS, which vaccine composition is purified from possible heat stable enterotoxin (ST).

**DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION AND APPOINTMENT OF ATTORNEY**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; I believe that I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention (Design, if applicable) entitled **ORAL VACCINE AGAINST DIARRHEA**

the specification of which (check one):

☐ is attached hereto, or ☒ was filed on: **December 9, 1999** as PCT International Application Number: **PCT/SE99/02306**

and (if applicable) was amended on:

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment(s) referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in *Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56*. I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under *Title 35, United States Code §119* of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

PRIOR FOREIGN APPLICATION(S)			PRIORITY CLAIMED	
Number	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed	Yes	No
9804415-9	SE	18 December 1998	X	

☐ Additional Priority Application(s) Listed on Following Page(s)

I HEREBY CLAIM THE BENEFIT UNDER TITLE 35 U.S. CODE §119(E) OF ANY U.S. PROVISIONAL APPLICATIONS LISTED BELOW.	
Application Number	Day/Month/Year Filed

☐ Additional Provisional Application(s) Listed on Following Page(s)

I hereby claim the benefit under *Title 35, United States Code, §120* of any United States application(s) or PCT international application(s) designating The United States of America listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in that/those prior application(s) in the manner provided by the first paragraph of *Title 35, United States Code, §112*, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in *Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56* which became available between the filing date of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Number	Filing Date	Status - Patented, Pending or Abandoned

☐ Additional US/PCT Priority Application(s) listed on Following Page(s)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under *section 1001 of title 18 of the United States Code* and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

**POWER OF ATTORNEY:** I (We) hereby appoint as my (our) attorneys, with full powers of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: J. Ernest Kenney, Reg. No. 19,179; Eugene Mar, Reg. No. 25,893; Richard E. Fichter, Reg. No. 26,382; Thomas J. Moore, Reg. No. 28,974; Joseph DeBenedictis, Reg. No. 28,502; Benjamin E. Urcia, Reg. No. 33,805; and

I (we) authorize my (our) attorneys to accept and follow instructions from AB Stockholms Patentbyrå Zacco regarding any matter related to the preparation, examination, grant and maintenance of this application, any continuation, continuation-in-part or divisional based thereon, and any patent resulting therefrom, until I (we) or my (our) assigns withdraw this authorization in writing.

Send correspondence to:

**BACON & THOMAS**  
625 Slaters Lane - 4th Floor  
Alexandria, VA 22314-1176

Telephone Calls to: (703) 683-0500

FULL NAME OF FIRST OR SOLE INVENTOR <b>Nils CARLIN</b>	CITIZENSHIP <b>Swedish</b>
RESIDENCE ADDRESS <b>Stallknektsgård 14 S-165 57 Hasselby, SWEDEN</b>	POST OFFICE ADDRESS IS THE SAME AS RESIDENCE ADDRESS UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN BELOW
DATE <b>2001-06-08</b>	SIGNATURE <i>Nils Carlin</i>

☒ See following page(s) for additional joint inventors.

## CONTINUATION OF DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION AND APPOINTMENT OF ATTORNEY

Page 2

2-20

FULL NAME OF JOINT INVENTOR Per ASKELOF	CITIZENSHIP Swedish
RESIDENCE ADDRESS Aspvagen 1A S-191 41 Sollentuna, SWEDEN SEX	POST OFFICE ADDRESS IS THE SAME AS RESIDENCE ADDRESS UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN BELOW
DATE June 11, 2001	SIGNATURE <i>Per Askelof</i>

3-20

FULL NAME OF JOINT INVENTOR Ulf BJARE	CITIZENSHIP Swedish
RESIDENCE ADDRESS Notharsvagen 80 S-757 57 Uppsala, SWEDEN SEX	POST OFFICE ADDRESS IS THE SAME AS RESIDENCE ADDRESS UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN BELOW
DATE June 11, 2001	SIGNATURE <i>Ulf Bjare</i>

FULL NAME OF JOINT INVENTOR	CITIZENSHIP
RESIDENCE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS IS THE SAME AS RESIDENCE ADDRESS UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN BELOW
DATE	SIGNATURE

FULL NAME OF JOINT INVENTOR	CITIZENSHIP
RESIDENCE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS IS THE SAME AS RESIDENCE ADDRESS UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN BELOW
DATE	SIGNATURE

☐ See following pages for additional joint inventors/priority applications.